

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,207		01/05/2001	Tao Chen	PA010098	5300	
23696	7590	01/15/2004		EXAMINER		
•		orporated	NGUYEN, TU X			
Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, CA 92121-1714				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2684	minall.	
				DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	े केरिस संस्थान	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-							
	Application No.	App	olicant(s)							
Office Action Summany	09/755,207		EN ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit							
The REAL INC DATE of this accomplished to	Tu X Nguyen	268								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 L	<u>December 2003</u> .									
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the				merits is						
Disposition of Claims	Lx parte Quayle,	1900 C.D. 11, 400 C	7.0. 210.							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers	_									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>										
Attachment(s)										
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) □ 5) □ 6) □	Interview Summary (PTC Notice of Informal Patent Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/755,207

Art Unit: 2684

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

In view of the amendment filed on 12/22/03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY
 REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 8-9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chheda et al. (US Patent 6,160,999) further in view of Pittampalli et al. (US Pub 2002/0114288).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Soliman discloses a wireless communication system, a method for call recovery comprising:

transmitting a pilot strength measurement message at a first transmit power level (see col.8 lines 35-52);

waiting a predetermined time period (see col.12 lines 20-21, "periodically" corresponds to "a predetermined time period"); and

transmitting the pilot strength measurement message at a second transmit power level, wherein the second transmit power level is greater than the first transmit power level (see col.12 lines 9-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/755,207

Art Unit: 2684

Chheda et al. fail to disclose "call recovery".

Pittampslli et al. disclose "call recovery" (see par. 0019). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chheda et al. with the above teaching of Pittampalli et al. in order to provide action to establish or receiverestablish calls by detects weak forward link conditions.

Regarding claim 2, the modified Chheda et al. discloses the second transmit power level is a maximum transmit power level (see Chheda, col.12 lines 25-67).

Regarding claims 3 and 8-9, the modified Chheda et al. discloses everything as claim 1 above. More specifically, the modified Chheda et al. discloses a computer program stored on a computer readable medium (see Pittamalli et al., par. 0040).

Regarding claim 4, the modified Chheda et al. discloses everything as claim 1 above. More specifically, the modified Chheda et al. disclose incrementing a transmit power level prior to receiving a hand-off direction message (see Chheda et al. col.11 lines 3-22).

Regarding claim 5, the modified Chheda et al. discloses transmitting a pilot strength measurement message at each transmit power level (see Chheda, col.1 lines 34-65 and col.12 lines 9-24).

Application/Control Number: 09/755,207

Art Unit: 2684

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chheda et al., in view of Pittampalli et al. and further in view of Odenwalder et al. (US Pub 2002/0097780).

Regarding claim 7, the modified Chheda fails to disclose the pilot strength measurement message includes a preamble message.

Odenwalder et al. disclose the pilot strength measurement message includes a preamble message (see par. 0043). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Chheda and Pittampalli with the above teaching of Odenwalder in order to provide the optimal channel conditions can be determined at a base station through information transmitted by a remote station.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (703) 308-7749.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER